

NOMINET
REGISTRY


.UK EPP Standardisation

Product round table – room temperature check report

STRAW POLL – have you read the document?

Did you have a chance to read the discussion document?

- Yes, and I think I understood it all.
- Yes, but some parts are unclear to me.
- Yes, and I am confused.
- Not yet.




STRAW POLL – comply with RFC5730 to RFC5733

We recognise any API changes have a negative impact in the short term.


Beyond any initial update, how would the adhering to RFC5730 to RFC5733 impact on your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think Nominet should adhere to RFC5730 to RFC5733 EPP standards?

1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion



STRAW POLL – comply with RFC5730 to RFC5733


We recognise any API changes have a negative impact in the short term.

Beyond any initial update, how would the adhering to RFC5730 to RFC5733 impact on your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think Nominet should adhere to RFC5730 to RFC5733 EPP standards?

1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion




STRAW POLL – remove automaton registrant compatibility

We recognise any API changes have a negative impact in the short term.


Beyond any initial update, how would the removal of compatibility with the defunct automaton (i.e. the auto-population of the 'Organisation' field of a contact by Nominet's systems) impact on your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think Nominet should remove compatibility with the now defunct automaton auto-population of the Organisation field in .UK and utilise EPP contacts as other registry operators do?

1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion



STRAW POLL – remove automaton registrant compatibility


We recognise any API changes have a negative impact in the short term.

Beyond any initial update, how would the removal of compatibility with the defunct automaton (i.e. the auto-population of the 'Organisation' field of a contact by Nominet's systems) impact on your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think Nominet should remove compatibility with the now defunct automaton auto-population of the Organisation field in .UK and utilise EPP contacts as other registry operators do?


1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion



STRAW POLL – enable registrars to delete domains.


Beyond any initial update, how would allowing registrars the ability to DELETE a domain impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think that enabling the DELETE command for registrars would require checks and balances in a process?

1. Yes – substantively similar to those proposed
2. Yes – substantively different from those proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail
4. No
5. No opinion



⁷ Note: Unfortunately a draft question was used instead of one asking if we should offer registrars the delete command.

Use of Delete command was covered in the survey at the end and this data equally has useful insight.


STRAW POLL – enable registrars to delete domains.

Beyond any initial update, how would allowing registrars the ability to DELETE a domain impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think that enabling the DELETE command for registrars would require checks and balances in a process?


1. Yes – substantively similar to those proposed
2. Yes – substantively different from those proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail
4. No
5. No opinion



STRAW POLL – enable registrars to delete domains.


Beyond any initial update, how would amending domain tasting limitations from being based on DELETES to being based on CREDIT of CREATE fee impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think an Add Grace Period Limits policy should replace the 'Use of the delete and investigation lock operations' acceptable use policy?

1. Yes – substantively similar to those proposed
2. Yes – substantively different from those proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion




STRAW POLL – enable registrars to delete domains.

Beyond any initial update, how would amending domain tasting limitations from being based on DELETES to being based on CREDIT of CREATE fee impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think an Add Grace Period Limits policy should replace the 'Use of the delete and investigation lock operations' acceptable use policy?


1. Yes – substantively similar to those proposed
2. Yes – substantively different from those proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion



STRAW POLL – remove investigation lock limits


How would removing the limitations on investigation lock impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think limits on the investigation lock should be removed?

1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion




STRAW POLL – remove investigation lock limits

How would removing the limitations on investigation lock impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think limits on the investigation lock should be removed?

1. Yes
2. No
3. No opinion




STRAW POLL – align .UK with a gTLD lifecycle approach

We recognise that a change to lifecycle will have a short term impact on everyone.


Beyond the initial update, how would amending the lifecycle of .UK in this way impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think we should amend the lifecycle of .UK?

1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion



STRAW POLL – align .UK with a gTLD lifecycle approach


We recognise that a change to lifecycle will have a short term impact on everyone.

Beyond the initial update, how would amending the lifecycle of .UK in this way impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think we should amend the lifecycle of .UK?

1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion




STRAW POLL – change inter-registrar transfer process for .UK

We recognise that a change in transfer process will have a short term impact on everyone.


Beyond the initial update, how would amending the transfer process of .UK in this way impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think we should amend the inter-registrar transfer process of .UK?

1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion



STRAW POLL – change inter-registrar transfer process for .UK


We recognise that a change in transfer process will have a short term impact on everyone.

Beyond the initial update, how would amending the transfer process of .UK in this way impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure

Do you think we should amend the inter-registrar transfer process of .UK?


1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion



STRAW POLL – A single TAG type.


How would amending the Registry Registrar Agreement to have a single TAG type impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure



Do you think Nominet should implement one level of accreditation?

1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion




STRAW POLL – A single TAG type.

How would amending the Registry Registrar Agreement to have a single TAG type impact your business?

1. Positively
2. Negatively
3. Not at all
4. Not sure


Do you think Nominet should implement one level of accreditation?

1. Yes – substantively similar to what is proposed
2. Yes – substantively different to what is proposed
3. Yes – not sure on detail.
4. No
5. No opinion




Survey questions – 1 being against, 10 being supportive

Conform with EPP standards RFC5730->RFC5733




Allow registrars to use the DELETE command




Survey questions – 1 being against, 10 being supportive

Remove AUP Limits for investigation lock




Update .UK lifecycle to match gTLDs



Survey questions – 1 being against, 10 being supportive

Unify Tag types



Change inter-registrar transfer process

